

|LAW AUDIENCE JOURNAL|

|VOLUME 1|ISSUE 2|DECEMBER 2018|ISSN (O): 2581-6705|

|LAW AUDIENCE JOURNAL™|

|VOLUME 1 & ISSUE 2|

|DECEMBER 2018|

|ISSN (O): 2581-6705|

EDITED BY:

LAW AUDIENCE JOURNAL'S

EDITORIAL BOARD

[LAW AUDIENCE JOURNAL]

[VOLUME 1|ISSUE 2|DECEMBER 2018|ISSN (O): 2581-6705]

COPYRIGHT © 2018 BY LAW AUDIENCE JOURNAL (ISSN (O): 2581-6705)

All Copyrights are reserved with the Author. But, however, the Author has granted to the Journal (Law Audience Journal), an irrevocable, non-exclusive, royalty-free and transferable license to publish, reproduce, store, transmit, display and distribute it in the Journal or books or in any form and all other media, retrieval systems and other formats now or hereafter known.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, recording, or other electronic or mechanical methods, without the prior written permission of the publisher, except in the case of brief quotations embodied in critical reviews and certain other non-commercial uses permitted by copyright law.

For permission requests, write to the publisher, subject of the email or letter must be "Permission Required," at the email or postal address given below.

Law Audience Journal,

Mr. Varun Kumar, V.P.O. Gagret, Ward No.5, Tehsil. Ghanari, District. Una, Himachal Pradesh, Pincode: 177201,

Phone: +91-8351033361,

Email: lawjournal@lawaudience.com, info@lawaudience.com,

Website: www.lawaudience.com,

Contact Timings: 10:00 AM to 9:00 PM.

[LAW AUDIENCE JOURNAL]

[VOLUME 1|ISSUE 2|DECEMBER 2018|ISSN (O): 2581-6705]

DISCLAIMER:

Law Audience Journal (ISSN (O): 2581-6705) and Its Editorial Board Members do not guarantee that the material published in it is 100 percent reliable. You can rely upon it at your own risk. But, however the Journal and Its Editorial Board Members have taken the proper steps to provide the readers with relevant material. Proper footnotes & references have been given to avoid any copyright or plagiarism issue. Articles published in Volume 1 & Issue 2 are the original work of the authors.

Views or Opinions or Suggestions, expressed or published in the Journal are the personal point of views of the Author(s) or Contributor(s) and the Journal & Its Editorial Board Members are not liable for the same.

While every effort has been made to avoid any mistake or omission, this publication is published online on the condition and understanding that the publisher shall not be liable in any manner to any person by reason of any mistake or omission in this publication or for any action taken or omitted to be taken or advice rendered or accepted on the basis of this work. All disputes subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of Courts, Tribunals and Forums at Himachal Pradesh only.

PORNOGRAPHIC MATERIAL VERSUS THE ISSUE OF MORALITY

AUTHORED BY: MS. MUSKAN CHAUHAN, B.A.LL.B, SCHOOL OF LAW, UPES,
DEHRADUN.

1. INTRODUCTION:

a) MORALITY DEFINED:

The word “Morality” is derived from the Latin word “Moralis” which means manners. Morality is characterized as that which includes the thoughts, for example, rightness and wrongness, blame and shame. Different definitions of morality have evolved based on different eras and situations. The basic definition of morality is *“Morality is a principle which helps in the distinction between rights and wrong it can be legal right and legal wrong, what is good and what is bad to the people.”* It differs from person to person, something moral to one person can be immoral to other, as it is the manner of the person.¹

b) PORNOGRAPHIC MATERIAL DEFINED:

Pornography is considered as a wide and artistic culture. It can also be considered as an idea, and it is left to people, who both affirm and oppose pornography, to pass judgment on how it might influence their own qualities, morality, and ethics. With the goal for people to recognize these personal values, governments have created censorship laws and feminists have contended for the privileges of women, and against pornography. However, in the meantime, there is an opposition that advocates the right to utilize pornography. Pornography may also influence a personal perception of sex, the general intimacy between sexual orientations (both alike and unlike), and now and again, self-discernment.

This focuses on the effects that pornographic material has on the individual and its culture, instead of the entire culture of pornography itself. How pornographic material can influence a culture is resolved through control and the laws that pursue evidential reflections, (as per the two sexual orientations), and moral contemplations that are determined from different

¹ B.N. Mani Tripathi, Jurisprudence the legal Theory, 19th edition (pg. 94), Allahabad Law Agency.

positions and perspectives all through a particular culture². There are various attitudes towards pornographic material by the people of the society because of the many individual opinions on the subject, most prominently are anti-pornographic feministic points of views and pro-pornographic perspectives. Pornography is an argumentative topic; thus it is a personal subject in the sense that it creates different interpretations among different minds and therefore the different definitions of pornography become subjective which results in the broadness of the subject. Since the subject of pornography is such a vast field it is necessary to narrow it down in accordance to different matters and approaches, so it will be easy for the individuals to understand accordingly and think accordingly that whether it is moral or immoral.

2. ISSUES INVOLVED:

- I. Whether the concept of Pornography has such wide affect that it results in Lack of Morality in individuals or not?
- II. Whether violent pornographic material is more dangerous or the non-violent is also dangerous or not?
- III. Whether Pornography is linked with the law or not?

I. WHETHER THE CONCEPT OF PORNOGRAPHY HAS SUCH WIDE AFFECT THAT IT RESULTS IN LACK OF MORALITY IN INDIVIDUALS OR NOT?

The concept of morality has a very vast affect and because of it has resulted in several crimes and immoral events. In the present case of, *Boarding School, situated in Bhauwala, District Dehradun. v. State of Uttarakhand and other*³ watching of pornographic material resulted in the gang rape of the girl student in the school. In this case, pornography resulted in the lack of morality where a girl child is gang-raped by her seniors in school; this will be a negative influence on the students as well as the other people. The Uttarakhand High Court⁴ because of this ordered to ban the porn sites, according to them the pornographic material is resulting in the lack of morality in the people which is degrading the society. In the other case, a

² Nicole Alicia , marie Hult & Jonathan roserorn Schmedes, THE ETHICS OF PORNOGRAPHY AND ITS IMPACT ON THE INDIVIDUAL [HTTPS://CORE.AC.UK/DOWNLOAD/PDF/12519494.PDF](https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/12519494.pdf).

³ (PIL) No. 158 of 2018.

⁴ Section 2(e) of The code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.

[LAW AUDIENCE JOURNAL]

[VOLUME 1|ISSUE 2|DECEMBER 2018|ISSN (O): 2581-6705]

student is caught while watching porn in the exam hall during physics exam, it puts a question mark on the student as well as the administration about the lack of morality, and the student was sitting in the exam hall where other students are also there. This incident is immoral as it distinguishes that the act done by the student is wrong.

In the year 2016, two ministers of Karnataka were caught watching porn during Tipu Jayanti function in Raichur. One of the ministers was minister for primary and secondary education and minority affairs. The person who is on such post where he has given an opportunity to help in the enlistment of the future of his state, instead of doing it he was watching porn where other people were also present. According to The definition of Morality, he was wrong because his act does not show any manner in it and morality also depends on the situations, so according to this event, the situation was not in favour. The act of the minister shows lack of morality in his behaviour. Many people have witnessed his act on media and according to them, his act was immoral.

If we go into the books we will see that some books or magazines print pornographic material in it and it is sold in the market without any protection, if a child takes that material and do something or commit a crime than it will be immoral, in that case, the lack of morality will be visible.

According to a survey it was found that in a survey in 2008 on university campuses found that *“a whopping 87 percent of "emerging" adult men (aged 18-26), and 31 percent of emerging adult women report using porn at some level, as they found it against the morality. Twenty percent of young men report using pornography daily or every other day, and almost half use it at least weekly. But the shocking factors of pornography consumption statistics do not stop there: The sky is blue and men view porn—we've lost the shock value in our passive acceptance. Perhaps the more telling pornography statistic is that slightly over two thirds of young men and nearly half of young women believe that porn consumption is morally acceptable. As every individual has their own mind to think and find whether it is immoral or moral. This statistic of acceptance is particularly interesting because it is pulled from our*

generation, which often defines right and wrong in terms of consequences. Consequence-based morality maintains that if something doesn't hurt yourself or others, it's not wrong."⁵

Theses to prove that the pornographic material is against morality are Feminist Theses. It consists of the provocation thesis and the direct harm thesis since they both view pornography as an issue of particular concern to women in every aspect possible. The basic premise of each is that pornography is, in certain circumstances, harmful to individual women, and that its restriction is therefore justified

II. WHETHER VIOLENT PORNOGRAPHIC MATERIAL IS MORE DANGEROUS OR THE NON-VIOLENT IS ALSO DANGEROUS OR NOT?

Violent pornography is generally considered to be more unsafe than non-violent pornographic material; the pervasiveness of violent pornographic material has turned into a focal issue in thinking about the general impacts of pornography. Be that as it may, the discoveries are uncertain and now and again conflicting. A few agents guarantee that up to 10 percent of all pornographic material portrays sexual violence, though others keep up that the extent is as low as 1 percent.

Some led commissions on pornography have inferred that violent pornographic material 'bears a causal relationship to standoffish demonstrations of sexual violence' and that debasing pornography 'bears some causal relationship' to violence, sexual hostility, and negative states of mind, for example, the fantasy that women appreciate being assaulted. Research directed on subjects for the most part guys in institutional settings in precisely controlled lab settings has given some help to this appraisal of violent sexual materials, however less help for the commission's decisions about nonviolent degrading pornography. Studies show that men who saw films that were both violent pornography recorded the most elevated amounts of hostility, trailed by the individuals who saw the explicitly unequivocal however non-violent pornographic material. Men record the largest amounts of hostility in the wake of survey pornography that depicts women as being explicitly stirred by the savagery executed against them. Despite the fact that some proof exists that presentation to

⁵ The Harvard Crimson, Pornographic Ethics | Opinion, <https://www.thecrimson.com/column/democracy-of-the-dead/article/2011/3/28/porn-pornography-moral-doeful/> (last visited Nov 1, 2018).

the violent pornographic material, and may be added to debasing pornography, causes viciousness and animosity against women. Be that as it may, the proof is uncertain, and different examinations have discovered no such impacts.

Moral qualities basically infer convictions about what is good and bad while moral conduct alludes to activities steady with these convictions. Moral improvement is firmly attached to different parts of psychological development. The capacity to think and reason empowers moral judgment, social and enthusiastic advancement prompts moral qualities and sympathy, and identity improvement incorporates the development of conscience. Moral advancement is a lifelong procedure, particularly as people experience new and more intricate moral situations in relations with companions, at the work environment, and in intimate relationships. young children obtain a feeling of right and wrong somewhat through parental control yet additionally in regular discussions with their parents, who pass on basic exercises about individuals' emotions, the results of disrupting norms, and what it takes to be a 'decent boy or girl'. Another asset for early moral growth is the empathy that youngsters feel for the pain of others.⁶

In the Nirbhaya Rape case, the offenders were somehow got the idea of doing those entire things by watching the violent pornographic material which resulted in the death of Nirbhaya.⁷

III. WHETHER PORNOGRAPHY IS LINKED WITH LAW OR NOT?

Laws are some or the other way connected to pornographic material, as pornographic material is obscene so the legal authorities try to cover that aspect so the other people do not suffer because of it. Pornography materials in straightforward words characterized as the demonstration of utilizing the internet to make, show, disseminate, import, or distribute pornography or vulgar materials. With the appearance of the internet, conventional obscene substance has now been to a great extent supplanted by on the web/computerized explicit substance.

⁶ JONES H. MUNANG'ANDU, Impact of Pornography and Moral Values Modern Ghana (2013), <https://www.modernghana.com/news/487062/impact-of-pornography-and-moral-values.html> (last visited Nov 1, 2018).

⁷ Mukesh and ans. v. State for NCT Delhi & Ors.criminal appeal no. 607-608, 2017.

[LAW AUDIENCE JOURNAL]

[VOLUME 1|ISSUE 2|DECEMBER 2018|ISSN (O): 2581-6705]

Pornography materials prohibited in numerous nations and sanctioned in a few. In India, under the Information Technology Act, 2000, this is a hazy area of the law, where it isn't precluded yet not legitimized either. Under Section 67 of the Information Technology Act, 2000 makes the accompanying demonstrations culpable with detainment up to 3 years and fine up to 5 lakhs and in second or subsequent conviction with imprisonment of either description for a term which may extend to 5 years and also with fine which may extend to 10 lakh rupees. The production which would incorporate transferring on a site, what's an application gathering or whatever other computerized entry where outsiders can approach such substance. Transmission incorporates sending revolting photographs or pictures to an individual by means of email, informing, what's application or some other type of computerized media. Causing to be distributed or transmitted-this is a wide phrasing which would wind up making the go-between entrance at risk, utilizing which the wrongdoer has distributed or transmitted such vulgar substance. The Intermediary Guidelines under the Information Technology Act put an onus on the Intermediary/Service Provider to practice due tirelessness to guarantee their entrance isn't being abused.

Section 67A of the Information Technology Act makes production, transmission and causing to be transmitted and distributed in electronic shape any material containing explicitly unequivocal act or direct, culpable with detainment up to 5 years and fine up to 10 Lakh rupees and in the event of second or subsequent conviction with imprisonment of either description for a term which may extend to seven years and also with fine which may extend to ten lakh rupees. A comprehension of these arrangements makes the accompanying decisions about the law of digital pornography in India to a great degree clear:

Survey Cyber pornography materials legitimate in India. Simply downloading and review such substance does not add up to an offence.

- Distribution of obscene substance online is illicit.
- Putting away Cyber obscene substance isn't an offence.
- Transmitting digital pornography by means of texting, messages or some other method of advanced transmission is an offence.
- In an exceptionally ongoing case, a multiyear old Engineering understudy was captured for sending indecent pictures by whatsapp application to women.

[LAW AUDIENCE JOURNAL]

[VOLUME 1|ISSUE 2|DECEMBER 2018|ISSN (O): 2581-6705]

Nonetheless, there is one case in which seeing Cyber pornography materials culpable with detainment up to 5 years and fine up to 10 lakhs. Where the substance contains children drawing in with each other or with grown-ups in explicitly express acts. Perusing or downloading Child pornography material online is additionally a culpable offence under the Information Technology Act.

The demonstration of gathering and putting away pornography material isn't an offence, yet on the off chance that the substance includes minors, at that point, it is culpable with detainment up to 5 years and fine up to 10 lakhs.

World over online child pornography is illicit. One of the greatest advanced gets of tyke pornography culprits was propelled in May 2002 and called Operation Ore. After the FBI got to the charge card subtle elements, email locations, and personal residences of thousands of pornographers getting to a British youngster pornography site, the particulars were given to the British police for examination. The capture of a PC advisor in Texas prompted a global examination that imprisoned Thomas Reedy for a long time for running the pornography ring. Around 1,300 different culprits were likewise captured, including instructors, tyke care laborers, social specialists, warriors, specialists, and 50 cops. Subsequently, 40 youngsters, 28 of them in London, were set under defensive consideration.

3. CONCLUSION:

As the title suggests, "*Pornographic Material versus the Issue of Morality*" the main question is whether morality plays a crucial part in the society and pornographic material is interfering with it. Morality has no perfect definition or there is no criterion where morality is judged or defined, morality differs from person to person. Pornographic material interferes in the society and then it results in immoral events. The pornographic material acts as an ignition in the increase in Crimes. That is why this paper is totally in favour that pornography should be banned in India as it not only acts as a stigma on the society but also promotes vulgarity in today's socio-legal scenario. In order to abate involvement of youth and children in pornographic activities, the government should take necessary steps to bar or limit the age criteria regarding the same and strict monitoring should be there so that the morality will be there in the society. In the end, pornographic material is a threat to morality.